

Cryptography for Software and Web Developers

Part 4: randomness, hashing, tokens

Hanno Böck

2014-05-28

- ▶ In security (not just crypto) we often need random numbers
- ▶ Examples: CSRF-tokens, one-time-action tokens, password salts, key generation, ...
- ▶ There's even crypto out there that needs good random numbers to run or it'll completely break: DSA, ECDSA (but better avoid that).
- ▶ Good randomness is hard

- ▶ OS or programming language default random functions often not secure random numbers.
- ▶ Rounding problems, conversion problems can reduce space of possible random numbers vastly.
- ▶ 2008 Debian OpenSSL bug.
- ▶ PRNGs need a seed or they don't work.
- ▶ NSA managed to make a backdoored RNG an official standard and payed RSA Inc. 10 Million \$ to make it the default in BSAFE
- ▶ No way to test random numbers reliably.

- ▶ An RSA public key consists of an exponent e and a modulus N which is the product of two primes
- ▶ If you know the primes you can get the private key
- ▶ What happens if we have two RSA keys with a shared prime, e. g. $N_1 = p * q_1$, $N_2 = p * q_2$? You can break this key with the greatest common divisor algorithm.
- ▶ Some people tried this with lots of SSH and TLS keys and found over 50 embedded devices that created such factorable keys. [url]
- ▶ Linux seed sources: HD timings, keyboard strokes, mouse movements. Embedded devices often have no HD, no keyboard, no mouse.



- ▶ PHP good: `openssl_random_pseudo_bytes()`, PHP bad: `mt_rand()`, `rand()`, `uniqid()`
- ▶ JavaScript good: `window.crypto.getRandomValues()`, bad: `Math.random()` (only latest browser support `window.crypto.getRandomValues()`)
- ▶ `/dev/urandom` is good if it is properly seeded. For embedded devices: Better create the keys on a desktop PC.

- ▶ So many people have wrong ideas about hashes...
- ▶ Completely typical situation: I write about cryptographic hashes, people in the comments discuss about password hashes and salting
- ▶ Hashes used in many contexts: error detection (CRC32), signatures (SHA256, SHA516), passwords (bcrypt, scrypt)
- ▶ If you use a hash function you need to know what it should do



- ▶ CRC32: Very fast, no security at all
- ▶ Reliably detects errors, but trivial to construct another input for an existing hash
- ▶ Usable only for errors and if no attacker is involved (e. g. error detection on hard disks or file comparison over otherwise secure network connections).

- ▶ Cryptographic hashes need to be collision resistant and preimage resistant
- ▶ Collision: It should be practically impossible to create two different inputs with same hash
- ▶ Preimage: It should be practically impossible to create an input for a given hash value.
- ▶ Used in many places, e. g. signatures
- ▶ Some crypto protocols need hashes and don't have collision resistance requirement (e. g. HMAC), but that's usually not something that should bother you



- ▶ In 2004/2005 big breakthroughs on hash attacks, mostly the work of a Chinese team led by Wang Xiaoyun.
- ▶ Most important results: practical collision attacks on MD5, almost practical attacks on SHA1
- ▶ 2008: MD5 attack on RapidSSL leads to fake CA, 2012: Flame worm uses MD5 attack to create rogue code signing cert
- ▶ SHA-2 functions (SHA256, SHA512) considered safe today, SHA-3 will come soon.

- ▶ Idea: We don't save passwords, we just save hashes so if our database gets stolen the attacker has no direct access to the passwords
- ▶ Attackers can brute force
- ▶ Salting makes it harder
- ▶ Security requirements for password hashes completely different from cryptographic hash functions
- ▶ Collision resistance doesn't matter, they should ideally not be fast



- ▶ glibc uses several iterations of cryptographic hashes (default SHA512) and a salt.
- ▶ bcrypt and scrypt are functions designed to be password hashes. bcrypt is designed to be slow, scrypt is designed to be slow and use lots of memory.
- ▶ There's a Password Hashing Competition (PHC), results expected in 2015.



- ▶ The importance of secure password hashing is IMHO vastly overstated.
- ▶ glibc-type SHA512, bcrypt, scrypt are all "good enough", just make sure you have a salt.
- ▶ Password hashing only gives you a tiny little bit of extra protection if your database gets stolen. But if that happens you're screwed anyway.
- ▶ Make sure nobody steals your database. That's much more important.

- ▶ Factorable RSA keys <https://factorable.net/>
http://media.ccc.de/browse/congress/2012/29c3-5275-en-facthacks_h264.html
- ▶ Password Hashing Competition
<https://password-hashing.net/>

